



Ref. Q59988

RECEIVED

MAY 30 2002

Technology Center 2600

- Claims: 1-3
- Cited Literature: 1
- Comments:

When the invention described in Cited Example 1 is compared to the invention according to Claim 1 of the present application, the difference is that, in contrast to there being multiple delay profile circuits in the invention of the present application, there is no specific description of there being a plurality in the invention described in Cited Example 1.

However, the invention described in Cited Example 1 is also a mobile receiver device that is used in mobile communications, and because handover processes are, of course, performed [therein], it is seen as having multiple delay profile circuits for the various ground stations.

Consequently, the invention pertaining to Claim 1 of the present application is essentially the same as the invention described in Cited Example 1.

Furthermore, because clearly the delay profile circuit or the timing circuit operations are stopped when a handover process occurs, the invention according to Claim 2 of the present application is essentially the same as the invention described in Cited Example 1.

Furthermore, even in the invention described in Cited Example 1, the stoppage of the operations is controlled by comparing the relative values of the delay profiles to a threshold value and then stopping the operation when [said delay profile relative value] is smaller than the threshold value, so the invention according to Claim 3 of the present application is essentially the same as the invention described in Cited Example 1.

- Claims: 6-8
- Cited Literature: 1
- Comments:

When it comes to the invention according to Claim 6, when the operating (stoppage) time is set, taking into consideration coordination with the signal processing and then setting the time according to the length of the signal is nothing more than a well-known technology, and the characteristic of "setting the operation stoppage time to an integer multiple of the frame" corresponds to a minor difference in the specific means for resolving the issue. Consequently, the two are essentially the same.

When it comes to the inventions according to Claims 7 and 8, stopping the supply of the operating clock, or stopping the power supply, when stopping the operation of a circuit are both well-known methods, and thus the difference in this point corresponds to a minor difference in the specific means for resolving the issue. Consequently, the two are essentially the same.

- Claims: 9-12
- Cited Literature: 1
- Comments:

The invention described in Cited Example 1 also includes an antenna, a wireless circuit, a CPU (control means), etc., and thus for similar reasons to those that were investigated pertaining to Claim 1, the invention according to Claim 9 of the present application is essentially the same as the invention described in Cited Example 1.

Furthermore, because restarting the searcher operation after a stoppage of a specific period of time is also performed in the invention described in Cited Example 1, the invention according to Claim 10 of the present application is essentially the same as the invention described in Cited Example 1.

When it comes to the inventions according to Claims 11 and 12, these are essentially the same as the invention described in Cited Example 1 for similar reasons as for the inventions according to Claims 7 and 8.

- Claims: 13-17
- Cited Literature: 1
- Comments:

The inventions according to Claims 13 through 17 describe as method inventions the inventions of the radio communications device described in each of the aforementioned claims, and thus they are essentially the same as the invention described in Cited Example 1 for reasons similar to those investigated for the various aforementioned claims.